

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/689,279	10/12/2000	Antti Kosola	5070-006 (GC 621)	7791	
759	01/15/2005				
Leonard D Bowersox Esq Kilyk & Bowersox PLLC			EXAMINER		
3603-E Chain E Fairfax, VA 22	Bridge Road		POPOVICS,	ROBERT J	
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724	1)	
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	17	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1/21
	Application No.			4 1
Office Action Summary	09/689	279	Kosola	etaj
Office Action Summary	69/689 Examiner	ovics	Group Art Unit 1724	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	/		orrespondence ad	ldress-
eriod for Reply	_	./		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE/	hree MONTH(S	S) FROM THE MAI	LING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defending to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	s, a reply within the statut efault, expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the appli	ory minimum of thirty ( THS from the mailing o cation to become ABA	30) days will be consid date of this communic NDONED (35 U.S.C. §	lered timely. ation. 133).
tatus				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28/02		,	· ·
This action is FINAL.				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	cept for formal matter 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.	s, <b>prosecution as</b> t G. 213.	to the merits is cl	osed in
isposition of Claims	1-48			
Claim(s) 1-2 1 4) NJ 3	10. 12	is/are r	pending in the appl	ication.
Claim(s)   1-2   A N J 3     Of the above claim(s)   7-9, 17-19   A N J 3     Claim(s)   1-6, 10-16, 20-21, 36-4	110-111	is/are v	withdrawn from cor	nsideration.
Claim(s) / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	72-77 11 1 1 F	is/are a	allowed.	
Claim(s) $\frac{1-6}{6}$ , $\frac{10-16}{6}$ , $\frac{20-21}{36-4}$	1 A~d 45	is/are r	ejected.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are o	bjected to.	
□ Claim(s)		are sub	oject to restriction o	or election
pplication Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ appn	•		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ol	• •	• •	<b>.</b>	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	-,			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er.			
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. §	119 (a)–(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	,	,,,,		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	en received.			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	en received in Applica	ıtion No	·	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been recei	ved		
in this national stage application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PCT Ru	e 17.2(a))		
*Certified copies not received:				_·
ttachment(s)	0			
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	r No(s). <u> </u>	☐ Interview Sumi	mary, PTO-413	
/  Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Infor	mal Patent Applica	tion, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	<del>-94</del> 8	☐ Other	<del>-1</del>	
Office	e Action Summary			
	C Addon duminary			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_\_//

Application/Control Number: 09/689,279

Art Unit: 1724

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1-4 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mattelmaki (U.S. Patent No. 5,149,448).

See Figs 1-2.

2. Claims 1-4 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Baird** et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,470,472).

See Figs 1-2 and 6.

3. Claims 1-4 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Martensson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,968,372).

See Fig 10.

4. Claims 1,3-4 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Larsson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,759,397).

See Figs. 3-5.

5. Claims 1-6,12-13,16,20-21,36-41 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by French Patent No. 1,165,054.

See Fig. 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claims **1-4** and **10-16** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey

Art Unit: 1724

to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The recitation "being operatively arranged" does not appear to be supported by the originally filed specification..

7. Claims 1-4 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what Applicants intend by the recitation "being operatively arranged," or how this language distinguishes the instant claimed invention from the applied art.

## Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 7-9, 17-19 and 42-44 are allowed.

### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed October 28, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants have argued: "None of the cited references discloses or suggests a filter medium applicator being operatively arranged to apply a layer of filter medium to an outer surface of a drum, wherein the filter medium applicator is located adjacent the drum and between a scraper and a container containing a sample medium having components to be separated, as set forth in Claim 1." The Examiner appreciates the difference between the applied art and the disclosed invention, namely, the application of filter medium as opposed to the removal of such medium. However, the language of Claim 1 as amended is not seen to define

Art Unit: 1724

over the applied art. Nozzles are disclosed by each of the prior art references that *could be* used to apply a layer of filter medium. As such, they are seen to meet the limitations of the claim.

#### Conclusion

- 10. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on August 13, 2002 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 609(B)(2)(i). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Robert Popovics whose telephone number is (703) 308-0684, and who can normally be reached at this number from 9:30 A.M. through 6:00 P.M. (EST) M-F.

Robert James Popovics Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1724

rjp January 12, 2003